



**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,512	01/22/2001	William Vong	MS1-155USC3	3413

22801 7590 10/03/2002

LEE & HAYES PLLC  
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500  
SPOKANE, WA 99201

EXAMINER

SHIN, CHRISTOPHER B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2182	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. <b>09/767,512</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Vong et al.</b>
Examiner <b>C Shin</b>	Art Unit <b>2182</b>

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 22, 2001

2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

4)  Claim(s) 15-23 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 15-23 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8)  Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on Jan 22, 2001 is/are  accepted or  objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is:  approved  disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)  All b)  Some\* c)  None of:

1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.

3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

### Attachment(s)

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4

6)  Other:

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Preliminary Amendment received January 22, 2001 has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 15-23 are pending in the application.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 15-17 and 21-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by French (5,760,690).

**Claims 15-17, 21,22**

**French**

- a portable handheld computing device
  - feature of figures 1-3
- a casing
  - feature of figure 1-2
- a light emitting device mounted externally on the casing, the light emitting device being activated upon occurrence of an event to notify a user
  - feature of (16) of figure 1a & 1b
- light emitting device comprises an LED
  - feature of (16)
- the casing has an upper surface

- feature of figure 1 with side of (16)
- the light emitting device is mounted externally on the upper surface
  - feature of figure 1 with side of (16)
- the casing comprises a base and a lid
  - feature of figure 1
- button mounted to the casing to deactivate the light emitting device
  - feature of (12)
- the casing comprises a base and a lid, and further comprising a button mounted to the lid to deactivate the light emitting device
  - feature of figure 1

Since the French reference teaches all of the basic claimed limitations, the claimed invention would have been clearly anticipated by the teachings of the French reference.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 18-20 & 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French (5,760,690) in view of Hidaka (5,606,712).

i. The above detail teachings of the claim 15 are similarly applied.

ii. The further dependent claims 18-20 & 23 further adds limitations related to specific components types that are very well known & commonly available in the art. One having ordinary skill in the art can easily add or choose a specific component type. More specifically, Hidaka reference teaches a very system that in the same environment of portable computer that manages data or processing data which utilizes specific types of components of the claims. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one having ordinary

skill in the art can easily & simply choose the readily available types of components, as taught by Hidaka and also well known in the art, and incorporate in the French system to come up with the invention.

iii. The examiner also takes official notice on such well known matters as discussed above (I.e., the limitations of the dependent claims in the art of portable computing).

**6. Any Response To This Action Should Be Mailed To:**

**If The Action Is Non-Final**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

**If The action is Final**

**Box AF**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

(703) 746-7238, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

**Hand-delivered responses should be brought to**

Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

**Any Other Telephone Communication Should Be Directed To**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Shin whose telephone number is (703) 305-9658. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:00 AM to 4:00 PM.

*Christopher B. Shin*

**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

**ART UNIT 2182**

Christopher B. Shin  
October 1, 2002

